

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/914,127 08/21/2001 Andrew Augustine Wajs 2069.012US1 1890 **EXAMINER** 21186 7590 07/24/2006 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. CHAI, LONGBIT P.O. BOX 2938 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 2131

DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/914,127	WAJS, ANDREW AUGUSTINE
Examiner	Art Unit
Longbit Chai	2131

	Longbit Chai	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) cove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS		F 20 Al A			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co 	,		because		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessared. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).		
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Amshir

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The new limitations were clearly not present in the claims and entry of this language would require reopening of prosecution for additional search or reconsideration.

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100